1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
4	AT TACOMA	
5	JAMES O'NEIL WIGGIN,	1
6	Plaintiff,	
7	V.	
8	WILLIAM ROLLLINS, RICHARD	
9	ENDERS, MARK BEITER, MARY KEPPLER, ROBERTA KANIVE, TIM	CASE NO. C13-5057 BHS/KLS
10	PANEK, SHERYL ALBERT, FRANK LONGANO, KELLY REMY, MARTHA	ORDER RE: MOTIONS FOR
11	NEWLON, FRED NAVARRO, J. DAVID KENNEDY, STEVE	OVERLENGTH BRIEF
12	HAMMOND, CHRIS LOFGREN, C. PHILLIPS, LONIE FIGUEROA, JOHN	
13	DOMINOSKI, RANDALL PIERCE, SARAH SMITH, DEAN KAO, FLO	
14	FADELE, DALE FETROE, EDWARD HOPFNER, INDA HERTZ, JOHN	
15	HURLEY, SANDRA CONNER, BENJAMIN RODRIGUEZ, CAROL	
16	THAMERT, JONATHAN NEALL, RAMON RELYEA, JACKIE SHUEY,	
17	EVELYNE DRYER, SCOTT LIGHT, MARY COLTER, LISA ANDERSON-	
18	LONGANO, PHU NGO, MICHAEL FURST, DALE KRAMP,	
19	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22	Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to file an overlength brief in opposition to	
23	Defendant Dreyer's motion to dismiss. ECF No. 104. Plaintiff's proposed response is attached	
24		

to his motion. ECF No. 104-1. Plaintiff also filed a motion to expedite. ECF No. 107. Neither 2 motion is necessary and shall be denied as moot. Pursuant to Rule 7(e)(3) of the local rules, motions to dismiss and briefs in opposition 3 shall not exceed 24 pages. (Reply briefs are limited to 12 pages). Plaintiff's proposed response 5 is 20 pages. 6 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 7 (1) Plaintiff's motions (104 and 107) are **DENIED as moot** 8 The Clerk is directed to docket Plaintiff's proposed response (ECF No. (2) 9 104-1) as his response to Defendant Dyer's motion to dismiss and to send a copy of this 10 Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants. 11 **DATED** this 13th day of September, 2013. 12 13 14 Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24